

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,030	11/24/2003	Taketo Heishi	67471-030	5444	
7590 08/01/2007 Michael E Fogarty			EXAMINER		
McDermott Wi	ll & Emery		PAN, DANIEL H		
600 13th Street NW Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do	Washington, DC 20005-3096		2183		
				•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,030	HEISHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel Pan	2183	

Defens the Million of an Annual Dulaf	·					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Daniel Pan	2183				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress			
	THE REPLY FILED <u>23 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because						
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-33.						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>40-54</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>34-39 have been</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	canceled.					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
•						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A clarification is made herein to affirm that claims 40-54, and as discussewd the last interview, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eickemeyer et al. (5,448,746). The "objected claims 40-54" in the last action were meant to be rejected. Examiner also conffirms that clams 34-39 have been canceled. Claims 1-33 have been allowed as indicated in the last office action. As to the last response by applicant on 04/23/07, examiner appreciated applicant's argument and explaination. As to applicant's point of argument that the Eickemeyer's 4-bit width is capable of parallel execution of another 4-bit width, and threfore, it must be at lease 8-bit width to accommodate the two 4-bits. Examiner would like to point out that the fact that Eickemeyer's 8-bit maximum width does not necessarily mean that is can not have a bit width shorter than the maximum. In fact, Eickemeyer already taught his bit width could be shorter than the 2*4 bit, the maximum, see the 2-bit width in col.12, lines 2-47, see fig.3 the instruction length code). Applicant only recites "the bit width of the instruction bus is shorter..." This "bit width" can be any part of the instruction bus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Pan whose telephone number is 571 272 4172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chan, can be reached on 571 272 4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 306 5404.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

21 Century Strategic Plan